Review Form 2

Book Name:	Innovations in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1792
Title of the Manuscript:	ROLE OF VESICULAR-ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI ON RICE CROP WITH DIFFERENT DOSE OF FERTILIZER TO SAVE SUSTAINABILITY
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	 The work was very important, since the VAM favored the growth of the plant and the final yield of the crop. However, the results obtained were not taken advantage of, which is why it is recommended to improve in that sense. The need to compare the results obtained with other similar works and preferably in recent years. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It would be better to use this title: "USE OF ARBUSCULAR MYCHORRIZAL FUNGI AND FERTILIZATION WITH NPK IN RICE CROP":	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	In my opinion, the parts as the work was divided are correct.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	That's how it is.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	 The article should be corrected, because it presents favorable results from the use of mycorrhizae in rice crop. It also highlights the behavior of mycorrhizae in the use of adequate doses of fertilizers for the crop. Minimizes or reduces the impact of indiscriminate use of synthetic fertilizers. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	They are not enough, that is why they are mentioned in their entirety in the article, very important to value and highlight this study.	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is adequate.	
Optional/General comments	I repeat again, improve this interesting study with the necessary number of updated references.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carlos Vilcatoma Medina
Department, University & Country	INIA, Peru

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)