

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Science and Technology: Recent Updates and Future Prospects</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2420
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>RADIATION PROTECTION OF PATIENT DURING STEREOTACTIC RADIOSURGERY. Part I: Single isocenter phantom measurements</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Book chapter</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Abbreviation should be mention at intial stage and then used later.</li> <li>• References are too old</li> <li>• Experimental detail with diagram are needed</li> <li>• Figure should have high resolution grater than 300dpi with box and legend</li> <li>• Detail ananlysis of figure is not done like why the nature of garpah is decrease with thickness, and increase with shots and so on....</li> </ul>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	No the abstract of article is not comprehensive to make comprehensive please add listed point below; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• limiting factor what is limit value for different organ and considered in this research should e be mention in abstract</li> <li>• same position way from sources what is postioon corredinate</li> <li>• what is the size of collimator</li> <li>• what is C in abstract</li> </ul>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>No</b> Authors should provide the detail of methods and materials section with experimental arrage with some figure and sketch diagram to convience reader. More experimental detail needed for experiemtnal section. Citation and refrence should to latest with correscponding field of research Better to include the mathermaical equation in methods and materisl section or introduction with proprer citation	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Authors should conserder section of authors feedback	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	No refrence are not recent Please add about 60% of reference last 5 year	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	yes	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	All comment mention above should be cosndier for revised of manuscript	

[Review Form 2](#)

**PART 2:**

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Saddam Husain Dhobi</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>Tribhuvan University, Nepal</b>