

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Contemporary Research and Perspectives in Biological Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2585
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Zinc, Vitamin A and Magnesium supplementation on Hypothyroidism
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- This study is important to know the extent of the impact and benefit of these supplements on the functions of the thyroid gland and raising its level of activities.</li> <li>2- In contrast, the authors should have used more than one dose in the treatment process.</li> <li>3- The author should have explained the results more clearly in separate tables or figures once and in correlation way in other side between the parameters.</li> </ol>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Influence of Zinc, Vitamin A and Magnesium supplements on the thyroid function in Hypothyroidism patients	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It should be completely rephrased, as will it is better if this abstract can be divided into separate categories such as (background, aim of study, result, and conclusion).	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No, need to be restructure and numerat.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1- Used the reliable references.</li> <li>2- Conducted the results and compared with previous studies.</li> <li>3- It was used a proper number of patients.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the references are need to be unify, and it is preferring to use the recent references instead of the old one.	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The writing language is poor. Most of paragraphs need to be completely rephrased.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 2](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Faisal Ghazi Lazim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Misan, Iraq</b>