Review Form 2

Book Name:	Assessing the Effectiveness of Selected Short Films as a Medium for Gain in Knowledge and Change in Practices Regarding Menstrual Health and Hygiene Amongst the Adolescent Girls Studying in Government Schools of Vadodara City (2022-2023)
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2790
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Effectiveness of Selected Short Films as a Medium for Gain in Knowledge and Change in Practices Regarding Menstrual Health and Hygiene Amongst the Adolescent Girls Studying in Government Schools of Vadodara City (2022-2023)
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it highlights the potential of short films as an effective educational tool for promoting menstrual health and hygiene among adolescent girls. Its experimental design provides valuable insights into how audiovisual media can improve knowledge and encourage positive practices, particularly in underserved populations. The use of statistical analysis strengthens the findings, making it a useful reference for future interventions in health education. The focus on a critical, yet often stigmatized, topic adds further relevance, contributing to both public health and media studies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title has to be redrafted its too long and confusing	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its well-structured methodology and use of appropriate statistical tools. The pre-post experimental design, with clear variables and controlled conditions, strengthens the validity of the findings. The study uses a relevant sample size and purposive sampling to ensure that the selected population aligns with the research objectives. Additionally, the application of multiple data collection tools (open-ended questions, multiple-choice, and rating scales) and statistical tests (correlation and Mann-Whitney U tests) demonstrates a thorough and methodical approach to analyzing the effectiveness of short films as an educational tool.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Wen reference has to be done in APA format . Aslso references from 2022 to 24 i.e. latest has to be used.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes references And many tables have to be combined	
Optional/General comments	Could be accepted after said revisions	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)

Review Form 2

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C P Rashmi
Department, University & Country	Presidency University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)