

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	<u>Assessing the Effectiveness of Selected Short Films as a Medium for Gain in Knowledge and Change in Practices Regarding Menstrual Health and Hygiene Amongst the Adolescent Girls Studying in Government Schools of Vadodara City (2022-2023)</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2790
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Effectiveness of Selected Short Films as a Medium for Gain in Knowledge and Change in Practices Regarding Menstrual Health and Hygiene Amongst the Adolescent Girls Studying in Government Schools of Vadodara City (2022-2023)
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article presents significant contributions to the scientific community by addressing an important gap in our current understanding of Short Films as a Medium for Gain in Knowledge and Change in Practices Regarding Menstrual Health and Hygiene Amongst the Adolescent Girls. Its findings are well-supported by data and adopted methodologies, which can potentially influence future research directions. I particularly appreciate the clarity in presenting complex results, as well as the authors thorough discussion of the implications of their work. However, there are a few areas as mentioned in comment sections where further clarification or expansion might enhance its overall impact. Overall, the manuscript offers valuable insights and is a strong candidate for publication.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is clear but too long and could benefit from being more concise Suggestion: Evaluating the effectiveness of Short Films on Menstrual Health Awareness and Practices Among Adolescent Girls in Government Schools of Vadodara (2022-2023)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract depicts a relevant study but again looks little lengthy, addressing the use of short films as a tool for educating adolescent girls on menstrual health and hygiene. However, there is still a scope for its improvement to bring more clarity and precision. The authors may continue with the continuous follow but should maintain a rhythm starting from background, methodology, findings, and conclusion statistical analysis can be refined to highlight the primary findings and others more clearly. Mention about significant difference regarding short films. Few key words may be added as well	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure seems alright, however scope of improvement is always there. Besides, for the appropriateness of the manuscript authours should largely consider specific guidelines (if any) of the publishing journal.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically	The manuscript is well written and demonstrates scientific robustness through its well-defined research objectives and systematic methodology. The use of a pre-post experimental design effectively allows for the assessment of knowledge and behavioral changes among adolescent girls regarding menstrual health and hygiene.	

[Review Form 2](#)

<p>robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>However, a scientific justification may be required weather the sample size of participants is adequate for drawing meaningful conclusions.</p> <p>The section 'research gaps' presents a compelling case for the need for further investigation into the use of short films for menstrual health education. The manuscript effectively highlights the lack of studies focusing specifically on this medium in the Indian context, particularly in rural areas where access to health education is limited. However, the manuscript could benefit from more specific examples of existing research in related fields to strengthen the justification for this approach.</p> <p>Furthermore, expanding on the potential impact of such studies on public health interventions would enhance the overall significance of the research gaps identified. Overall, the conclusion succinctly reiterates the necessity for more focused research, making a strong case for the proposed study's relevance and importance in contributing to menstrual health awareness.</p> <p>The Authors could benefit from more detailed descriptions of the validation criteria used by the experts for both the tool and the films.</p> <p>The discussion of the social stigma surrounding menstruation and its impact on awareness and practices is well-articulated, providing a strong rationale for the research.</p> <p>However, while the conclusion is comprehensive, it could benefit from reiterating the most significant outcomes related to knowledge gain and behavior change and enhance clarity.</p> <p>Lastly, it would be helpful to briefly mention the implications of these findings for public health policy and educational programming.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes the language of the article is suitable for communication, but a review by the authors is recommended to improve several areas where clarity, grammar, and syntax can be improved like:</p> <p>Verb Tense Consistency: The article frequently shifts between past and present tense, which can confuse the reader. For example, "The study concludes that the selected short films was effective..." should be "The study concludes that the selected short films were effective...". Maintaining consistent verb tense will improve readability.</p> <p>The short films were found effective in terms of storyline, character development, dialogue delivery, and language.</p> <p>Besides, there are some minor punctuation errors, such as missing commas and incorrect use of conjunctions.</p> <p>The authors should consider Word Choice: Phrases like "gain high knowledge" and "gain more knowledge" could be refined to "acquired substantial knowledge" or "increased their knowledge."</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

[Review Form 2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Imtiyaz Ahmad Wani
Department, University & Country	Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences (SKIMS), India