

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Current Research Progress in Physical Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2926
Title of the Manuscript:	An Additional Properties of the Graded Prime Spectrum of (R, G)
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-The graded ring theory in general is a very important theory since it has many connexions with several others disciplines such as algebraic geometry, homological and commutative algebra but i don't see if a topological aspect will have some of interest for the scientific community. Especially, if the study is limited to the graded prime spectrum.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	-No, I suggest the following "A topological aspect of the graded spectrum of a graded ring"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No, there is many notations. What did he mean by "G-spec(R)", is there a differenc between this set and "GX".	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	-The paper contains a lot of results without proofs or mentioned references. -The paper contains many typos and grammatical errors. -The proofs are not too much clair for the reader.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments	Not enough.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I DO NOT RECOMMEND THIS PAPER FOR PUBLICATION AS A BOOK CHAPTER.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Assarrar Anass
Department, University & Country	University S.M. Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco