Review Form 2

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Physical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_2926
Title of the Manuscript:	An Additional Properties of the Graded Prime Spectrum of (R, G)
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (F part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it expands the understanding of graded prime spectra, a concept crucial in algebra and ring theory. This work provides new insights that could influence further research and applications in these areas. I appreciate this manuscript for its clarity and depth, which effectively communicates complex ideas, making them accessible to both specialists and those new to the field	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should be structured (do not use, pronouns-like we, you, and 'let' type of words), add key words.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There are not found proof in section 3 from 3.1 to 3.16. If these are already published result then cite these results, similiarly in section 4 from 4.1 to 4.6 cite the results.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its rigorous methodology and thorough exploration of graded prime spectra. The authors meticulously define concepts and provide clear proofs, ensuring that their findings are reproducible and verifiable. This level of detail and clarity contributes to its technical soundness, making it a valuable resource for researchers in the field.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references provided are sufficient but lack recent contributions. It is recommended to include more recent works, especially those related to the definitions and results used in the paper, to ensure the research is grounded in the latest developments in the field. Adding these references will strengthen the manuscript by connecting it with contemporary studies and enhancing its scientific relevance.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Improvements in language clarity, grammar, and overall fluency are needed to ensure the manuscript is suitable for academic publication.	
Optional/General comments	 Author should discuss the implications of its results within the broader context of algebraic structures, showcasing a strong understanding of the subject matter. Overall, with these minor revisions, the manuscript is deemed suitable for publication. 	

(Place correct the manuscript and highlight that			
(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ot. It is mandatory that authors should write e)			

Review Form 2

<u>PART 2:</u>

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the ma write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pratibha
Department, University & Country	DIT University Dehradun, India

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nanuscript. It is mandatory that authors should