

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Scientific Research, New Technologies and Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3199
Title of the Manuscript:	Federated Learning and Deep Learning: A New Frontier for Real-World Applications
Type of the Article	BOOK CHAPTER

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	manuscript is an innovative step as federated learning is an innovative step towards enhancing data privacy while using deep learning	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, I suggest adding an explanation about federated learning and its relationship to deep learning before delving into the research problem and its results.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, the texts are organized and the images are clear.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is a new and evolving topic, but it needs more sources and the conclusion needs to clarify and present the real and actual results of the topic.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Relatively few references and need updating and increasing the number of sources	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hind Ibrahim Mohammed
Department, University & Country	Iraq