Review Form 2

Book Name:	Current Approaches in Engineering Research and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3276
Title of the Manuscript:	Continuous Wavelet Transform based Phonocardiogram Delineation Method
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

DEMOISH .		A. O. J. B. D. J. (2)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that
		part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
5 1		his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the	This manuscript presents a novel approach to analyzing phonocardiograms using continuous wavelet	
importance of this manuscript for the scientific	transforms, which can significantly enhance diagnostic capabilities in cardiology. The methodology	
community. Why do you like (or dislike) this	described may contribute to improved understanding of heart sounds and rhythms, providing valuable	
manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be	insights for researchers and clinicians. Its practical applications in non-invasive heart monitoring	
required for this part.	highlight its relevance to the scientific community.	
Is the title of the article suitable?	The title is appropriate as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the manuscript. No alternative	
(If not please suggest an alternative title)	title is necessary.	
(ii iiet pisass saggest aii aiisiiiaiivs iiiis)	and is necessary.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do	The abstract provides a good overview of the study; however, I suggest including specific results or	
you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some	findings to enhance its informativeness. Including a brief mention of the implications of the findings	
points in this section? Please write your	would also strengthen this section.	
suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript	The manuscript's structure is logical and well-organized, with clear subsections that facilitate	
appropriate?	understanding. Each section builds upon the previous one, making it easy to follow the author's	
	arguments and findings.	
	A LPC and the state of the state of the state of	
Discounties from any form and the state of	Additionally, please include a section on future scope.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the	This manuscript demonstrates a solid scientific foundation, employing established wavelet transform	
scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do	techniques and applying them effectively to phonocardiogram analysis. The methods are well-	
you think that this manuscript is scientifically	documented, and the results are presented clearly, indicating a robust analysis. The authors have	
robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	provided sufficient background to understand the significance of their work.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you	The references are generally sufficient and mostly recent. However, I recommend including additional	
have suggestions of additional references, please	sources related to recent advancements in phonocardiogram analysis and wavelet transforms to	
mention them in the review form.	enhance the manuscript's depth.	
•		
Minor REVISION comments	The language is generally suitable for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical adjustments may	
	improve clarity, but overall, it meets academic standards.	
Is the language/English quality of the article		
suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor REVISION comments:	
	Improve the clarity of some technical terms.	
	Ensure consistency in terminology throughout the manuscript.	
	Endure consistency in terminology unoughout the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	The diagrams and figures are unclear. Please add high-quality images where possible. Additionally,	
<u> </u>	please include a section on future scope.	
	The same of the sa	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)

Review Form 2

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Remalli Rohan
Department, University & Country	Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)