Review Form 2

Book Name:	Contemporary Research and Perspectives in Biological Science	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_ 3394	
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical Analysis, Antifungal and Antibacterial Screening of Aegle marmelos: A Guyana Floral Extract	
Type of the Article	Book chapter	

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Author detaily narrated the manuscript with more previous research studies. Antimicrobial activity in different solvent and phytochemical analysis explained well. This study will give deep insights to the researchers doing their study related to this topic.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, title suits.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Concise and informative	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It's better if author add some subsections like literature analysis.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes it's scientifically sound good as this work elaboratly explained the antimicrobial activities in different solvent extracts, gives clear idea about the pharmacological properties to understand.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	good	
Optional/General comments	Though it is a well known leaves, it is better to get authentication of the leaves from botanists, which is not mentioned in the script.	

PART 2:

ith reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that latory that authors should write his/her feedback here)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P Subbulakshmi
Department, University & Country	Meenakshi Ammal Dental College, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)