

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3418
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effects of Organic Liquid Fertilizer (Vegetable Waste) on Moisture Retention, Soil Physical Properties and Yield of Lettuce (Lactuca Sativa L.) Grown in the Malkerns Area, a Region in the Kingdom of Eswatini
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Fertilizers provide crops with nutrients like potassium, phosphorus and nitrogen, which allow crops to grow bigger, faster, and to produce more food, So I liked the manuscript content because it highlights the importance of fertilizers.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I have read the manuscript very carefully and it is scientifically robust and technically sound.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is sufficient but I hope the authors add more recent references during the past three years	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments	Yes, it is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dina A. Saad
Department, University & Country	Diyala University, Iraq