

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Contemporary Research and Perspectives in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3436
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatoprotective Effect of Annona Squamosa Linn. (AS) Leaves on Isoniazid & Rifampin Induced Hepatotoxicity in Rats
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This does not correspond to a book chapter. We just have a pre-study which lacks results to ensure reliable conclusions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No see manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This does not correspond to a book chapter. We just have a pre-study which lacks results to ensure reliable conclusions.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No References part must be improve by add more recent references	
Minor REVISION comments	Some minor typographical error	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Chapter with many gaps and many lacks of detail. This chapter must be completely revised and re-written before any decision is made.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patrick Martin
Department, University & Country	Artois University, France