

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3472
Title of the Manuscript:	Dissociation Constant of N-(2-Acetamido)-Iminodiacetic Acid Monosodium (ADA) from (278.15 to 328.15) K
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	This title isn't suitable for headline of a book or subtitle of a book	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I suggest to write a sentence at the first line of the paragraph to indicate the general description for the abstract paragraph.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Introduction is not perfect specially at start sentences. Author started with his pervious works only. It must begin the wide and attractive and general sentences then specific sentences.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author must rewrite the introduction part like starts with more general to very specific paragraph which he has worked on. Write equations to obtain the enthalpy, entropy, Gibbs free energy, heat capacity. There is citation in conclusions. It is a big mistakes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author must insert some other refereces indicate the importance of the work to the readers in general.	
Minor REVISION comments	It is language good not bad.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rawand Samad
Department, University & Country	Salahaddin University-Erbil, Iraq