

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Scientific Research, New Technologies and Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3585
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment of Management Knowledge, Skills and Abilities of Nigerian Architects: Towards a Sustainable Management in Architectural Practice
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important to the scientific community as it highlights the management competencies of Nigerian architects, an area that has been underexplored despite its impact on sustainable architectural practices. The research addresses gaps in curriculum and practice, offering insight into how management competencies influence professional performance. I appreciate its focus on empirical data to support these findings, although there could be further exploration of global comparisons.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "An Assessment of Management Knowledge, Skills and Abilities of Nigerian Architects: Towards a Sustainable Management in Architectural Practice", is informative and suitable. However, for clarity and conciseness, consider: "Evaluating Management Competencies of Nigerian Architects for Sustainable Management in Architectural Practice".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive and covers the research problem, methods, results, and conclusions effectively. However, it could benefit from briefly mentioning the key recommendations and implications for future architectural curricula and practice to provide a more complete overview.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well-organized, with clear subsections such as the introduction, literature review, methodology, results, and discussion. These sections facilitate comprehension, but the "Review of Related Literature" could be condensed to enhance readability.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript appears scientifically robust as it applies appropriate statistical tools (e.g., RII, chi-square analysis, and ANOVA) to answer the research questions. The use of a structured survey with a significant sample size enhances its credibility. The reliance on established theories and references supports its soundness, though integrating more recent studies would strengthen its relevance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and cover key sources related to the topic. However, adding more recent publications from the past five years could increase the paper's value. For instance, literature on the evolving integration of management and architecture in the global context would be beneficial.	

Review Form 2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is largely suitable for scholarly communication but requires minor editing for grammatical accuracy and conciseness. Proofreading for sentence structure and clarity is recommended to improve readability.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript provides valuable insights into the management capabilities of Nigerian architects and identifies specific areas for improvement. The emphasis on empirical evidence adds to its credibility, and the recommendations are practical and relevant. However, it would be beneficial to include a comparison with management practices in other countries for a broader perspective. Areas for Improvement 1. Language and Readability: Some sections require proofreading for clarity and grammatical correctness. 2. References: While sufficient, more recent references should be included to improve its relevance 3. Ethical Considerations: A statement on ethical approval and participant consent should be added. 4. Global Perspective: The manuscript would benefit from a comparative analysis with global standards to provide more comprehensive insights.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nabilah Aliyu Yusuf
Department, University & Country	Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria