

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Scientific Research, New Technologies and Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3585
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment of Management Knowledge, Skills and Abilities of Nigerian Architects: Towards a Sustainable Management in Architectural Practice
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses gaps in architectural education and practice among Nigerian architects, particularly regarding management knowledge, skills, and abilities (AMKSAs). The study's focus on AMKSAs needed for sustainable practice is crucial as it highlights essential areas for curriculum and professional standards improvement. By identifying the specific AMKSAs that are crucial for sustainable architectural practice, the findings of this study can guide the development of educational programs and professional standards, thereby contributing to the creation of a competitive, sustainable profession in Nigeria.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title, " An Assessment of Management Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities of Nigerian Architects: Towards a Sustainable Management in Architectural Practice, " is suitable. A more concise alternative could be: " Evaluating Management Competencies for Sustainable Architectural Practice among Nigerian Architects. "	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a comprehensive overview but could improve with a clearer focus on methodology and findings. Suggestions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Briefly mention the methodology (survey and RII analysis) for clarity. Add a sentence on the study's practical implications for curriculum changes. Simplify phrases like "Management know-how in Design, Time and Project Management." 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's structure and subsections are well-organized and easy to follow. Emphasising "Recommendations" as a distinct section could enhance readability by clearly highlighting actionable insights.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically sound, demonstrating a rigorous approach to addressing a well-defined research problem and applying appropriate methods, such as the Relative Importance Index, for competency evaluation. The ANOVA and chi-square analyses add depth, linking findings to relevant studies on management deficiencies in architectural education. This thoroughness supports the conclusion that managerial competencies vary by professional ranking rather than academic qualifications, enhancing the manuscript's credibility.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate, covering recent studies and key works. For a broader context, the authors might consider additional sources on management in architecture from regions with similar economic and educational backgrounds.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is adequate, though minor grammatical adjustments would improve clarity. For instance, maintaining consistency in terminology and simplifying complex sentences, such as those describing 'Management know-how in Design, Time and Project Management', would make the manuscript more accessible to a wider audience.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tajudeen Olawale Ajayi
Department, University & Country	The Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria