

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3603
Title of the Manuscript:	Cerebral Small Vessel Disease – A Longitudinal 10 Years Evidenced Study
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Importance of this manuscript is due to it talks about a neglected group of people that needs more interest and better medical care to live better healthy life style. This manuscript discusses a very interesting scientific medical area of neurodegenerative disorders.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable. It may be also :cerebro vascular geriatric diseases.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes it is comprehensive.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes it is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is done on a longitudinal period study, but it is better to be done on a large number of people with various occasions to be considered in a large scale as a reference . It shared the study with various medical specialities to be more detected. But generally it can open the door for such studies to be widely settled after that.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	Not all are recent but considered as sufficient.	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Quality of English is suitable specially for those who are speialists in that field.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amany Sakr
Department, University & Country	Egypt