<u>**Review Form 2**</u>

Book Name:	**Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_3708**
Title of the Manuscript:	**The Changing Nature of Work: Insights into the Digital Economy in India**
Type of the Article	**Book Chapter**

<mark>**PART 1:**</mark> **Review Comments**

Compulsory REVISION comments	**Reviewer's comment**	**Author's Feedback** *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is very interesting as scientific contribution. Indeed, the digital economy and the transformation in business strategies through digital platforms influence activities in society and specifically in the context of India. It is a good topic.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This article is important for the scientific community as "t*his aims to provide a concise overview of the platform economy in India.*" It explores a crucial question of digital platforms appropriation to support economy growth and resilience. The argumentation is very interesting even if a revision is required.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References are recent. Yet, there are some duplications in the references list and it is important to add **doi** when it is possible. You can add these other papers in your list. Mukherjee, S., & Narang, D. (2023). Digital economy and work-from-home: The rise of home offices amidst the COVID-19 outbreak in India. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, *14*(2), 924-945, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13132-022-00896-0 Williams, L. D. (2021). Concepts of Digital Economy and Industry 4.0 in Intelligent and Information Systems. International Journal of Intelligent Networks, 2, 122-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijin.2021.09.002	

Minor REVISION comments **Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Yet, it is important to use words in English for the other readers in the world: for example, *lakhs* is a specific word in India and it seems unclear for the global English readers. So, it is important to adapt some words to help others too.	
Optional/General comments	Below are some suggestions to improve the chapter quality. **Abstract:** Reformulate the aim (add the Domaine of usage of this study in the aim); give more details on the methods and key findings according to the research scope; Reduce the conclusion. **Introduction**: It is very interesting as text and writing. Yet, you should add references to support some ideas from common statements. At the end of this introductive section, what is the research question and the objective? Mention them before the next section if possible. **Literature review:** It is a review on the *platform economy*. What is the link with digital economy mentioned in the title? The paper scope seems to be on digital economy as mentioned in the title. Please clarify it if possible as it is a very interesting paper. **Methods**: I appreciate your efforts and the choice of a narrative review methodology (*Research design*). Explain the *sample* choice. Please, provide more details on the sample inclusion/ exclusion criteria. In addition, mention the research validity and reliability procedure. It is very important to underline the *data collection* process (Data repositories used like *scopus* for example, selected document publication date timeline, and so on). Then *data analysis* must give more details on the data processing approach and the sections of your findings according to a theoretical lens. **Findings/ Results:** The data collected are certainly very interesting. We appreciate it. Yet, due to gaps in the methods section, it is difficult for us to understand clearly the tables of the findings according to the research scope. How did you collect the information to make these tables? The geographical zone seems to be focused on India and these tables are not mentioned in the *methods* section. As a book chapter, we suppose that the aim is for pedagogical activities too. Therefore, in the case studies, please use references to support the ideas related to person the situation and the platform economy appropriation. It is a scientific paper and the references are helpful for the reader. **Discussion:** Interesting ideas are mentioned. But this section discusses the *results* according to other o researchers point of views. So, the structure of this section must take into consideration the one of the *results*. As the aim is not very clear, it affects the discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Saman Ange-Michel Gougou**
Department, University & Country	**Universite Alassane Ouattara, Cote d'Ivoire**