

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3708
Title of the Manuscript:	The Changing Nature of Work: Insights into the Digital Economy in India
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper looks fine and will contribute in understanding how digital work platforms are gaining momentum in today's changing workplace, which are the major companies using these platforms and how workers who are part of this work space view their work.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	1. The addition of word platform in the reserch title would be appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	1. Few results could be added to briefly understand the outcome of this paper instead of just mentioning terms like motivation, challenges and incentives.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This Paper is moderately scientific, however the reviewer would like to advice following changes to enhance the scientific reliability of the paper <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the introduction section, line 11 onwards there is no citation for whole two lines. Is it the observations made by the author or extracted from reliable sources. If it is from reliable sources then it needs citation and if it is from author's observation then how can the points be made reliable. 2. One or two objectives can be added to understand the reason for conducting this research. 3. Under methodology specification should be provided regarding the field work carried out in this research, for instance, what was the method used, who were part of the field work (respondents), how was the data collected, what tools were used to analyze the data...all these are missing 4. Discussion can be improved by comparing and contrasting the result of this study with others. Few lines of recommendations can be added on how to resolve the issues identified by this study..e.g. last paragraph in the discussion section talks about challenges and organization <i>Oxford Internet Institute and Social Science Research Centre Berlin (WZB)</i>, however what can labor union or the government of india or state do inorder to improve the challenges can be added. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	

[Review Form 2](#)

Minor REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sonam Wangmo
Department, University & Country	Gedu College of Business Studies, Bhutan