

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3735
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal effect of extracts of plant leaves on postharvest decay and quality of tomato fruits during storage
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript highlights the importance of natural control of postharvest rot agents but the researcher has failed to explain some key part of the methodology used. Presentation of results lacks some ingredients and so also the discussion.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes but with little modifications: Antifungal effect of plant leaf extracts on postharvest decay and shelf life of tomato fruits in storage	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Words in blue in the abstracts should be added, those in red be deleted while the author needs to rewrite words in green to give it meaning.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript tries to tackle an important problem faced by farmers and marketers of vegetables in Northern Nigeria using environmentally friendly organic materials. It has shown that organic materials has the potential to substitute chemicals in the control of postharvest pathogens of fruits.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

**Review Form2**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Use of English is good, however, the research needs to clearly define his methodology especially how the parameters used were taken and his or their source of pathogens.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The research intention and direction is good, however, lack of some informations on the methodology means that the research can not be replicated which very important in seicentific studies.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zakawa Ndale Ngida</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Adamawa State University, Nigeria, Guangxi University, China</b>