

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3741
Title of the Manuscript:	Are Minority Firms Guilty Until Proven Innocent? An Empirical Study on Market Behavior of Minority-Owned Business Enterprises
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study is important for the scientific community because of its subject matter. The aspects highlighted in this study are unique. Moreover, this study is based on a theoretical framework taken from diverse disciplines.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of this article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of this article is comprehensive. However, the researcher is suggested to be more specific in reporting the study's findings in the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is good.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The researcher adopted an appropriate approach to study this topic. The methodology adopted is appropriate. Moreover, the data analysis techniques are suitable and correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references given in this study are sufficient but many of these are outdated. The researcher is suggested to include recent research in this manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of language used in this manuscript is excellent and suitable for scholarly communications.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript is an excellent piece of scholarly work by the researcher. The approach used in this study is extraordinary. However, the references used in this manuscript are not up to the mark and must be improved by including recent studies.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Ajmal
Department, University & Country	University of The Punjab, Pakistan