

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Business, Management and Economics: Research Progress</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3741
Title of the Manuscript:	Are Minority Firms Guilty Until Proven Innocent? An Empirical Study on Market Behavior of Minority-Owned Business Enterprises
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Clear Research Focus:</b> Effectively addresses the market behavior and risk patterns of MBEs, filling a gap in the existing literature.</li> <li><b>Contextual Relevance:</b> Highlights the growing importance of MBEs in the U.S. economy.</li> <li><b>Strong Theoretical Foundation:</b> Well-grounded in entrepreneurship theory.</li> </ol>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Robust Methodology:</b> Utilizes Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and SAS® 9.1 for data analysis.</li> <li><b>Comprehensive Findings:</b> Identifies seven key risk factors affecting MBEs.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	

[Review Form 2](#)

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>Clarity of Language: Some sections need clearer phrasing for better readability.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>1. Methodology Detail: Lacks sufficient explanation of sample size and data analysis process.</b>  <b>2. Limited Practical Implications: Needs a stronger link to policy and business practices.</b>  <b>3. Over-Simplification of Risk Factors: More detailed examples of how risk factors apply to MBEs are required.</b>  <b>4. Justification of Variables: Insufficient explanation of why specific variables were selected.</b>  <b>5. Limited Generalizability: A small sample size and regional focus may limit broader applicability.</b>  <b>6. Potential Biases: A deeper evaluation of survey biases and limitations is needed.</b>  <b>Areas for Improvement:</b>  <b>1. Enhance Clarity: Revise ambiguous and repetitive language for better precision.</b>  <b>2. Detail Methodology: Provide more information on data analysis and sample size.</b>  <b>3. Expand Implications: Connect findings to policy and practical outcomes.</b>  <b>4. Clarify Risk Factors: Provide concrete examples and a deeper discussion of how risk factors impact MBEs.</b>  <b>5. Justify Variable Selection: Explain the rationale behind the chosen variables for clearer theoretical grounding.</b></p>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p><b>Dipankar Dutta</b></p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p><b>Balurghat College, India</b></p>