

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3749
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceived Parental Behaviour as Predictor of In-school Adolescent Sexual Risk Behaviour in Ibadan, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic is important. Sexual risk taking behaviour continues to be a problem among this cohort. Parents are a source of pressure for adolescents, and parent-child interactions particularly impact adolescent behaviour	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable, but there is a typo in the title; You have adolescent instead of adolescent. The letter "s" is missing	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	An abstract should summarize the whole study as follows; The first sentence of an abstract is the statement of the problem; the second is theory informing it. The third is the method, including design and participants. The fourth is the results followed by conclusions and recommendation There is a disconnect between the recommendations//conclusions as reflected in the abstract and the conclusion in the body of the work. Which parental behaviour was found to be most suitable and how and why is CBT the most suitable approach? This has not come out in the discussion or conclusion in the body of the work	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Sub structures of the write up are appropriate. All necessary sections have been included The hypothesis could be better stated. It would be more informative to state that "Participants with a more positive perception of parental behaviour will exhibit less risky sexual behaviour compared to those who report less positive parental perception." Two more hypotheses would strengthen the work I do not know how the author (s) came to the conclusion that girls were more prone to risky sexual behaviour as they did not analyse for gender. Unless they are referring to the three studies. Indeed, they should have analysed for gender differences and can then compare with previous findings Method instead of Methods. In the last sentence under results, the authors should tell us the magnitude of the difference between the groups (the effect size), which is actually more important than the p value	

[Review Form2](#)

<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>It has followed the process of the scientific method to determine if there is a relationship between variables. It has identified a problem based on a theory, reviewed existing literature, formed hypothesis, gathered data, and analysed to come to conclusions</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>A lot of the references are not recent. Reference 2 has no date. Reference 5, Baumrind should start with a capital B and pages referenced should be indicated. Ref 12 remove repeated word. Ditto for Ref 16. Typo in reference 22</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes it is, albeit there is the conflicting use of both US and UK English spellings, e.g the word behaviour On page 5 line 4, to say that only three studies have examined the link between psychological control and sexual risk taking behaviour is a bit of an exaggeration. There might be many more that the author has not come across, It is better to state that a few.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	<p><u>Reviewer's comment</u></p>	<p><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></p>
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Retta Akingbade</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Lead City University, Nigeria</p>