Review Form2

	Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
	Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3758
	Title of the Manuscript:	Pregnancy outcomes of mothers with immune thrombocytopenia
	Type of the Article	Book chapter

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This Book'speer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy:<u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</u> Benefits for Reviewers:<u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/book-benefits-for-reviewers</u>

PART 1: Review Comments

ompulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback(Pl part in the manuscript. his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	THROBOCYTOPENIA IN PREGNANCY IS BECOMING COMMON NOW A DAYS . AS THIS ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTED ON VIGILANCE AND TREATMENT APSECT MORE. IMPORTANCE OF ANOMALIES ALSO HIGHLIGHTED	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	VARIABLES NOT MENTIONED IN METHODOLOGY	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ipt. It is mandatory that authors should write	
ere)	

Review Form2

Minor REVISION comments	NO	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	IN NEONATAL VARIABLES BIRTH WEIGHT IS INCLUDED. BUT IT WAS NOT SHOWN IN RESULTS TABLE . CLARIFY IT	

<u>PART 2:</u>

		Author's comment (if agree highlight that part in the ma write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Poornima C
Department, University & Country	PSGIMSR, India

eed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nanuscript. It is mandatory that authors should