

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	MANDIBULAR FRACTURES
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3759
Title of the Manuscript:	MANDIBULAR FRACTURES
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is beneficial to have all the information about mandibular fracture types, diagnosis and management in one chapter as a source for knowledge and the conclusion is well written. But I didn't like the introduction of the manuscript, not presented well (the language require revising)	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No Mandibular fractures: types, diagnosis and management	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The introduction needs revising not well written to represent the manuscript	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure of the manuscript is well organized in sequence	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific content is good but the first part requires revising to be more scientific not just information about countries The conclusion is well written	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Most of the references used are from thesis, not published articles in accessed journals	

Review Form 2

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Needs major revision The manuscript writing requires adequate revising for the English writing e.g. Not to use we, our and you manifests itself ... is manifested not to put "the" before a name other examples of these ...highlighted in the manuscript</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript requires revision</p> <p>The references written in the paragraphs (written in capital letters all the name and in some mention the name without writing the number of the reference)</p> <p>Some of the figures' numbers not mentioned in its place in the paragraph and some have missing labels (highlighted in the manuscript), figure 12 needs adjustment</p> <p>Numbering of the titles can be written in a simpler way and not to write the reference number in the title</p>	

PART 2:

	<p><u>Reviewer's comment</u></p>	<p><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></p>
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Mona Mohamed Aboelnagga</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Taibah university- KSA, And Ain Shams University, Egypt</p>