

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	MANDIBULAR FRACTURES
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3759
Title of the Manuscript:	MANDIBULAR FRACTURES
Type of the Article	Complete Book

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The mandible, a crucial component of the jaw and face, plays a significant role in establishing proper occlusion. It is essential to study its anatomy, the various types of fractures, and the appropriate treatment methods. Additionally, nutrition is vital for enhancing individual health, especially considering the important roles of the lower jaw and teeth. The new divisions introduced in this chapter of the book provide valuable insights for examining the subject in greater detail.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is not for book season	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In the contents we have some academic mistakes likes paraclinical sign . it is suitable to be radiographic and histopathologic manifestation. 2. Toothed portion is not suitable. Alveolar area is academic. 3. According to field should be changed with (division based on age groups) 4. After effect is not correct. Postoperation complication is correct. 5. V3 is not true. The abbreviation of inferior alveolar nerve is IAN. 6. In page8 we should mention that the place of mental foramen is not always under apex of second premolar. 7. Explanation of figures are not in the English academic style 8. There are so many dictation mistakes. 9. In the article we have many unusual and not academic definitions 10. We have some problems with mandibular fractures of elderly patients especially in the osteoporotic patients we have some fractures but here was written that we have a few fracture in old patients. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	No	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The language of this article is not academic in the most of papers. In some pages we see the not formal English academic words or phrases.	

[Review Form 2](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yeganeh Arian
Department, University & Country	Kerman Medical University, Iran