

Review Form 2

Book Name:	Contemporary Research and Perspectives in Biological Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3798
Title of the Manuscript:	BIOELECTRONIC INTERPRETATION OF HUMAN COGNITIVE PROCESSES
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript concerns the bioelectronic interpretation of human cognitive processes. In the current book chapter, the author discusses that human life is not only a matter of biology and biochemistry but also a quantum-cybernetic-informational and bioelectronic construction that affects human health, disease, and behavior. Authors concluded that the structure of the image of the world is responsible for the psychophysical development of humans, health, and disease. I like the paper because the author discusses the topic from the view of chemical, biological, and psychological perspectives.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Sub-sections of the research process need to be changed. Change the significant heading of the research process to the Research method or only Method. Please mention the straightforward research design. You have written about the participants' details in the design section, not the research design. It would help if you could write some more relevant references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes Authors follow any one referencing style, and references are not fully corrected in APA format. Please check all the in-text citation references.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please check the In text citation references 2. Writes the rationale of study 3. Discuss the Practical implication of study. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vishal Yadav
Department, University & Country	Gaya College, Gaya, Magadh University, India