

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Engineering Research: Perspectives on Recent Advances
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3818
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization and Modeling of ZnO/CdS/CdTe Heterojunction Thin Film Solar Cells
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall, the work is good and addresses an important issue. The paper is well written and it is not difficult to understand the contribution. Therefore, in my opinion the submission can be acceptable with some revisions. 1. In introduction. Author should add more logical reasons about their current work. 2. There are lots of errors in writing subscript and superscript. For example, in introduction, CuInGaSe ₂ . Authors should carefully check the whole manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	YES	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The submission addresses a very important and updated topic for the community. The subject is very interesting as well and the work would provide valuable information for the researchers. However, the following suggestions and changes should be considered: - The paper should be checked again formatted according to the journal template. - Although the paper is well written, still a last check is required for typos and grammatical errors	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	N/A	
Minor REVISION comments	YES	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	N/A	

[Review Form 2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adnan Hosen
Department, University & Country	Sapienza University of Rome, Italy