Review Form2

Book Name:	Mathematics and Computer Science: Contemporary Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3819
Title of the Manuscript:	Logistic regression and its implementation for email spam filtering
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses a vital topic of email spam filtering using logistic regression. It highlights practical applications for data security and user privacy.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable. No changes are suggested.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive but could benefit from including the model's specific accuracy metrics.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The structure is clear and follows a logical flow, making it easy to read.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimumof 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically robust, demonstrating the practical application of logistic regression for email spam filtering. Technical details are well explained.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are recent and sufficient. No additional references are required.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript's language is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The study provides valuable insights and can be a helpful resource for readers interested in machine learning applications.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed, Usman
Department, University & Country	Federal Polytechnic, Bali, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 2 (08-07-2024)