

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Scientific Research, New Technologies and Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3861
Title of the Manuscript:	KEY FACTORS INFLUENCING USERS' INTENTIONS OF ADOPTING RENEWABLE ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The purpose of this research is to analyze the key factors that affect users' intentions of adopting renewable energy technologies. The research is based on TRA, TAM and Roger's Diffusion of Innovation. It helps us to figure out acceptance model and influencing factors. The result of the research can be used not only for promoting the adoption of renewable energy technologies, but also for providing insights to government institution. This study interviewed 273 persons to comprehend their attitude and behavior about renewable energy technologies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Title of research article is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The Abstract of research article is comprehensive and easy to understand. No changes are recommended in the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The subsections and structure of manuscript of research article is scientifically correct and appropriate.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	The references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient. Additional references are not required	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the English language used in the research article is good and suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	It appears to be an original research work of authors. There are no ethical issues in the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alok Mittal
Department, University & Country	St. Paul Institute of Professional Studies, India