

[Review Form 3](#)

Book Name:	Mathematics and Computer Science: Contemporary Developments
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3863
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparison of Methods for Internet Traffic Sharing in Computer Network
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this manuscript will help the scientific community in the following areas 1. Develop an internet traffic system system that will facilitate efficient utilization of the network resources. 2. Promotion better quality traffic with lower cost 3. Ensure a robust and scalable internet network	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive enough. However, the reference of Naldi should be addressed in the main body of the manuscript and not the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is suspected to be correct subject to more critical scientific assessment and evaluation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are okay but are old as at the time of this review	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript research work is appropriate as at the time of this research. However, more recent publications may have evolved more reliable methods. They can be included. The keywords are too many and some words like 'Network Congestion, Quality of Service... can be removed. Finally, the Introduction section should be more organised to make sense. A background of the title should be properly done rather than highlighting previous publications only.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rex Ehiedum Usiade
Department, University & Country	Delta State Polytechnic Otefe-Oghara, Nigeria