

[Review Form 3](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Contemporary Research and Perspectives in Biological Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3883
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIVE ABUNDANCE OF FISHES IN Chandakhal WETLAND OF DHUBRI DISTRICT, ASSAM (INDIA) AND THEIR CONSERVATION STATUS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present work is very pertinent to explore the rich indigenous fish diversity in the naturally potential wetland resources for their conservation as well as propagation to support the growing human population for food and nutrition. The present database may also promote many other research and extension programs to explore fish biodiversity as well as to upscale aquaculture productivity utilizing unmanaged wetland resources for economy enhancement. However as a book chapter it is too short and the volume can be increased through incorporation of some macro- and micro-level characteristics of Chandakhal wetland along with the anthropogenic interferences if any to make the write up full proof.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is self explanatory and justified.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is more or less comprehensive. However incorporation of the trend of gross aquaculture productivity in recent years in this particular water body may be provided as a guideline to plan the management strategy for numerous other wetland resources available in the State for fish productivity enhancement.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It is written in appropriate format and is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	References are moderate enough. However, a few references may be incorporated in support of past and present status of the Chandakhal wetland in relation to its environment and human interference.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The Book Chapter will be technically more sound as a study material for the students as well as reference material for the planners if the wetland environment and management part is considered. Scientifically the current topic signifies one of the trust major areas under Aquaculture Research and Planning at National and Global level . Therefore an effort must be taken to enrich the chapter for a significant leap of Aquaculture promotion, academics and society development.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Debapriya Sarkar
Department, University & Country	Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India