

[Review Form 3](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Contemporary Research and Perspectives in Biological Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3883
Title of the Manuscript:	RELATIVE ABUNDANCE OF FISHES IN Chandakhal WETLAND OF DHUBRI DISTRICT, ASSAM (INDIA) AND THEIR CONSERVATION STATUS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>The article presents an in-depth research study focused on the diversity of freshwater fishes in wetland bodies located in Assam. The investigation employed an appropriate statistical methodology to reinforce the significant findings. Fish faunas preservation and identification was conducted using a standard key. Overall, the data presented are the result of the author's own efforts, aligning with the essential information available, with the exception of a few experimental aspects.</p> <p>The authors took photograph of the fishes but not reflected it in the main text is beyond understanding. Author should gives images also in the text that will be a references for others who are working in this direction. Furthermore, the frequency of sampling conducted at each site and duration of studies should be explicitly stated.</p>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<b>Correct</b>	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	<b>Very correct</b>	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>Authors cites 25 relevant references in the main text and bibliographic section is sufficient.</b>	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The article's strengths lie in its overall structure, the presentation of data, and the application of quantitative and statistical analysis. I strongly feel that the manuscript is scientifically and technically sound.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good piece of work and findings are interesting.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	Momin Shakir Mohammad Shahid
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	Ramnarain Ruia Autonomous College, India