

[Review Form 3](#)

Book Name:	Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3918
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal Variation of Physico-Chemical parameters of Groundwater in Yerraguntla Area of YSR Kadapa DT, AP-India
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscripts is has been researched and presented in such a manner that, 1. The scientific community Is made away of the sources of polluted fresh water. 2. The manuscript has profer solutions on the problem of polluted ground waters in the community of study can be addressed. 3. WHO standard for fresh and ground water quality has been carefully followed. 4. Chemical protocols in solving the problem of groundwater in the area of studies byfocusing on the Physico-chemical parameters have been duely followed.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable for the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract has been properly edited and all possible corrections made.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically bias and all researched and practical presented.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient. There are not to recent, but for the nature of studies there are accepted as they are.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is scientifically communicated and suitable for any scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The studies is well research and properly presented. All corrections are highlighted with yellow color.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rev Andy Nyako Moses
Department, University & Country	Lincoln University College, Nigeria