

[Review Form 3](#)

Book Name:	Recent Developments in Chemistry and Biochemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3918
Title of the Manuscript:	Seasonal Variation of Physico-Chemical parameters of Groundwater in Yerraguntla Area of YSR Kadapa DT, AP-India
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript provides groundwater quality of Yerraguntla area. So it gives the status of water quality to scientific community. The different water quality parameters were studied and presented here.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It has to be changed. Do not provide short forms in title. Water sampling was done during rainy and summer. So it cannot be told as seasonal variation. Winter is not included	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	There are grammatical mistakes in the introductory part of abstract. Correct that. Correct some errors like hydrogen ion attention and spelling mistakes.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	No. Statistical analysis is missing in the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. additional references are required. The result part must be supported with similar studies. There are no references and comparison with other similar studies.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Rewrite the abstract, result part. Study area map is not clear. Better to provide sampling site image. In the result part its just single values. No statistical analysis is done.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sudarshan.P
Department, University & Country	India