## **Review Form 3**

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_3926
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' Purchasing Behaviour of Insecticides in Cumin (Cuminum cyminum) and Problems Faced by Farmers for Cumin in Dhrol Taluka of Jamnagar District,
	Gujarat, India
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

## **PART 1:** Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript shed light on the demography of cumin farmers in India, what motivates their use of pesticides and the problems they face cultivating the crop.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	No, the title is a bit unclear and there is tautology. A possible suggestion is: "Farmers' Purchasing Behavior of Insecticides and Challenges in Cumin Cultivation in Dhrol Taluka, Jamnagar District, Gujarat, India."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is very comprehensive except for a few grammatical or spelling blunders. First, there was 'non-prabability' under the method which I presume the author meant 'non probability.' Also, not so sure 'Raising costs' is more suitable than 'Rising costs' under ' <b>Findings'</b> .	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	To the best of my knowledge, maybe yes. Except that the manuscript contains too many grammatical or spelling errors that I lost counts. Also there are repeated sentences – <i>line 15</i> under '1.1 Introduction' has same information as <i>line 2</i> under '1.2 Indian Scenario of Agrochemicals.' I would suggest major copyediting.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, it is not. As suggested previously, the clarity and tenses needs to be improved on.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript needs major revisions in terms of English quality.	

## PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Segun Bamidele
Department, University & Country	University of Bristol, Nigeria

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (05-12-2024)