Book Name:	**Contemporary Research and Perspectives in Biological Science**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BPR_3989**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Application of CKPT to Healthy People**
Type of the Article	**Book Chapter**

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback *(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	**This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it introduces the Color Kanji Pick-out Test (CKPT) as a potential screening tool for early detection of cognitive decline in ostensibly healthy individuals. By targeting the preclinical stages of dementia (PCSD) and mild cognitive impairment (MCI), . This research could pave the way for more accessible, standardized, and regionally adaptable cognitive screening methodologies, contributing to improved public health strategies for aging populations.**	
Is the title of the article suitable? **(If not please suggest an alternative title)**	**A more precise and engaging title could better convey the focus on cognitive screening and its implications for early dementia detection.** **"Application of the CKPT for Screening Preclinical Cognitive Impairment in Healthy Adults Over 60"** **"The Role of CKPT in Bridging Perceived and Actual Cognitive Health in Aging Populations"**	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	**The abstract clearly states the objective; however, it could benefit from a brief explanation of the significance of identifying PCSD or MCI in ostensibly healthy individuals. Why is CKPT particularly suited for this application?**	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	**The manuscript appears scientifically correct in its general methodology and objectives, but its validity depends on the robustness of the CKPT as a screening tool and the transparency of the study's design and analysis.**	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. **-**	**The authors should ensure that at least 60-70% of the references are from the last 5–7 years, with a focus on highly cited and peer-reviewed sources.**	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality is adequate	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript addresses an important and timely issue in cognitive health, particularly the early detection of dementia in aging populations. This is a valuable contribution, especially as global demographics shift toward an older population. **These comments aim to improve the manuscript's clarity, accessibility, and overall impact, ensuring that it resonates well with the scientific community and broader audience.**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Mukesh Kumar Sharma**
Department, University & Country	**Rungta College of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research, India**