

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	<a href="#">Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4000
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING IN DIAGNOSIS AND CLASSIFICATION OF MULLERIAN DUCT ANOMALIES: CASE SERIES</b>
Type of the Article	Book chapter

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Anomalies of the Mullerian ducts are responsible for a wide variety of manifestations, the most important being menstrual cycle anomalies and infertility. MRI brings a diagnostic addition to traditional methods, namely ultrasonography and hysterosalpingography. MRI can provide the necessary details in determining the type of corrective surgery. In addition, MRI can detect anomalies of the urinary system, which often accompany anomalies of the genital sphere, having a common embryological origin.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Being a book chapter and not an article to be published in a medical journal, I suggest giving up "case series" in the title	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, the abstract includes the important points of the chapter. A brief enumeration of Mullerian anomalies would have been useful here	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, from a scientific point of view this manuscript is correct. I would have added a subtitle that would briefly describe the MRI technique. I would have illustrated the anomalies of the Mullerian ducts through drawn images	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> =	References are recent and sufficient	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is academic and medical and easy to understand	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I would have added a subtitle that would briefly describe the MRI technique. I would give up the subsection "discussions" to repeat by summarizing the cases presented separately in the text and separately in the table and rather would have illustrated the anomalies of the Mullerian ducts through drawn images.  All my mentions and comments start from the idea of a book chapter	

[Review Form3](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b><u>Reviewer's comment</u></b>	<b><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></b>
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<b><u>Name:</u></b>	<b>Diculescu Doru</b>
<b><u>Department, University &amp; Country</u></b>	<b>University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania</b>