

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Achievements and Challenges of Medicine and Medical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4000
Title of the Manuscript:	MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING IN DIAGNOSIS AND CLASSIFICATION OF MULLERIAN DUCT ANOMALIES: CASE SERIES
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Mullerian duct anomalies are rare but very important finding related to female reproductive tract. This case series provides scientific literature on this condition, diagnostic criteria, clinical symptoms and the successful outcomes. These are much valuable for the scientific community to study and understand the condition in advance way. It is to be appreciated to novelty of the data of this study.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is appropriate	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is nice if the objective is mentioned clearly. Key words- ultrasonography word is not much relevant. Suggested to include case series	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The collected materials and the way it written and the interpretation is comprehensive. Please include the objective In table 1 – it would be nice to include the types relevant to two classification methods on each case discussed in the manuscript; American Society of Reproductive Medicine classification and the European classification of MDA.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Some references are older than 10 year. Please revisit	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language is comprehensive	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kaumadi Karunagoda
Department, University & Country	University of Colombo, Sri Lanka