

Review Form 3

Book Name:	Science and Technology: Developments and Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4008
Title of the Manuscript:	Beyond the Bite: Unlocking the Future of Dentistry with Intraoral Scanners
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community, particularly in the fields of dentistry and medical technology. By systematically evaluating various scanning strategies of intraoral scanners, it provides valuable insights into advancements in digital dentistry.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is good and informative but information presented have lot of flaws	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The information regarding intra-oral scanners has been well organized but have following issues that needs to be addressed; 1- There are lot of grammatical mistakes 2- Unusual use of spaces and punctuation marks 3- Repetition of words (intra-oral scanners) again and again that should be avoided	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Need major corrections	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Not sufficient. In various headings, no reference has been cited throughout the section.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Very poor language. Major mistakes are there that need to be addressed.	
Optional/General comments	1- Uneven use of capital words throughout the manuscript 2- Reference citation is not optimum. In various headings, no reference has been cited throughout the section. 3- Sentence structure throughout the manuscript is very poor. There are lot of grammatical mistakes. 4- The way information has been presented is not scientifically right. However, in present scenario the detailed information alongwith references should be presented. 5- Unusual repetition of statements	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Adnan Sabir Mughal
Department, University & Country	MNS University of Agriculture, Pakistan