

[Review Form 3](#)

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Physical Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4040
Title of the Manuscript:	An explanation and some experiments of Solving the neutron lifetime puzzle via non standard neutrino interactions
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The proposed theory, if validated, could have far-reaching implications for our understanding of particle interactions, particularly weak forces and neutrino physics. The article does well to hint at the broader relevance of the model beyond the specific neutron lifetime anomaly, suggesting its potential to shed light on unresolved issues in fundamental physics. The article contributes to a major scientific question. Its innovative approach has potential to inspire further research in particle physics and related fields.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article suits well.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive and sufficient.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yogendra Pratap Singh
Department, University & Country	University of Lucknow, India