

Review Form 3

Book Name:	Research Perspectives of Microbiology and Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4067
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical Constituents of the Goat Margarine and Antibacterial Activity against Bacterial Pathogens in Sudan
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	This could be a clearer topic: Chemical Composition and Antibacterial Properties of Goat Margarine: A Comparative Analysis with Synthetic Antibiotics	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	While concise, the abstract is neither clear nor comprehensive. I suggest re-writing the abstract. There was no correlation between the first sentence and the other ones. Why check the chemical components using GC-MS, what does it have to do with the antibacterial effect of the goat margarine? The antibiotics mentioned, where are they gotten from? An abstract should be a standalone part of an article that could help a reader understand your work without reading it. While this particular abstract could do that, it isn't properly arranged.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is scientifically correct, but most processes can not be repeated.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	No, they are not. Although most of them are related to the topic, more than 80 % of the references are older than 10 years.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I think the authors could do better. There is need to check the grammatical quality. I suggest using the assistance of native English speaker or the use of Grammarly. Grammarly Could be accessed through this link: Grammarly: Free AI Writing Assistance	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ajibola Nihmot Ibrahim
Department, University & Country	Federal University Wukari, Nigeria