

Review Form 3

Book Name:	Science and Technology: Developments and Applications
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4087
Title of the Manuscript:	Nanomaterials for Sustainable Pollution Management
Type of the Article	Book Chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community, as it provides a comprehensive overview of the applications of nanotechnology in environmental remediation. By highlighting the potential of nanomaterials in addressing various environmental pollutants, this work bridges the knowledge gap between nanotechnology and environmental science. The insights presented in this manuscript will not only advance our understanding of nanotechnology-based remediation strategies but also inform the development of innovative solutions for mitigating environmental pollution. Furthermore, this work will serve as a valuable resource for researchers, policymakers, and industry professionals seeking to harness the potential of nanotechnology for environmental sustainability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title could be more specific and informative. Here's a suggested alternative: "Applications of Nanomaterials in Environmental Remediation: A Review of Recent Advances and Future Directions" This title better reflects the content and scope of the article.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is concise but could benefit from adding specific examples and key benefits to provide a clearer overview of the article's content.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Based on the provided text, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct, with proper terminology, concepts, and references to existing research in the field of nanomaterials and environmental remediation.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the references are not entirely sufficient. While extensive, they lack recency (many are older than 2020) and some specific topics, such as nanocomposites, require additional references.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language and English quality of the article are generally good, but require minor editing and proofreading to eliminate grammatical errors and improve clarity. Some sentences are wordy or awkwardly phrased, and transitions between paragraphs could be smoother. Overall, the article is well-written, but needs refinement for scholarly communication.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Argha Modak
Department, University & Country	The University of Burdwan, India