

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4093
Title of the Manuscript:	Productivity of Groundnuts under Select Management Practices
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript highlighted about the effort on technology development to enhance the production and management of aflatoxin. Effort taken on knowledge dissemination also highlighted. Compendium technology development is highly appreciated	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title may be revised as "Compendium technology for higher yield and aflatoxin management in Groundnut"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Effort on technology dissemination and research may be separated and revised in a suitable manner	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	Manuscript scientifically prepared in a sequence manner.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Recent reference may be provided	

[Review Form3](#)

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Scientific terminology may be used (Farmers/farm women)instead of actor</p>	
<p>Optional/Generalcomments</p>	<p>Title for afltoxin management may be included Sub title to be self explanatory.</p> <p>Need of FFS may be highlighted or removed. Manuscript to be focused on technology development instead of technology dissemination Manuscript focused on poor soil, low yield and aflotixin but not the knowledge level, what is the need to highlight on training and FFS. Year of study may be sequenced. 2.1: 2016 to 2017 2.3 :2018 to 2019 2.4:2017 to 2018.</p> <p>As per the spacing, plant population may be verified Weather parameters of experimental area may be included</p> <p>Compulsorily conclusion to be revised.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p><u>Reviewer's comment</u></p>	<p><u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u></p>
<p><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>S. Vallal Kannan</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</p>