

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4096
Title of the Manuscript:	SELECTION OF PROMISING LINES FROM F 4 GENERATION OF CHICK PEA GENOTYPES THROUGH CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses an important aspect of chickpea breeding, focusing on the selection criteria for yield improvement. This research is relevant to agricultural scientists and breeders, especially those working with legume crops. The integration of correlation and path coefficient analysis provides a robust approach to identifying traits that significantly impact yield, which can be directly applied to breeding programs	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable and accurately reflects the content of the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive, but a brief mention of the practical implications of the findings in chickpea breeding could enhance its relevance.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically sound, and the methodology is well-documented.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are adequate and recent; however, adding a few more studies from the last five years would strengthen the literature review	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is of high quality, with only minor improvements required to enhance its impact.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Belagrouz Abdenour
Department, University & Country	Algeria