

[Review Form3](#)

Book Name:	Current Research Progress in Agricultural Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_4096
Title of the Manuscript:	SELECTION OF PROMISING LINES FROM F 4 GENERATION OF CHICK PEA GENOTYPES THROUGH CORRELATION AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides valuable insights into the direct and indirect effects of yield-contributing traits in chickpea, offering critical information for trait-based selection in breeding programs. By highlighting key characters such as branches per plant, 100-seed weight, harvest index, and pods per plant, it establishes a foundation for improving grain yield in this important crop. The findings contribute to the scientific community by enhancing the understanding of trait interrelationships, thus enabling more effective strategies for chickpea improvement.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a clear summary of the key findings related to correlation and path analysis of yield components in chickpea. However, it could be enhanced by adding more context or background, particularly regarding the significance of chickpea as a crop and its role in breeding programs. Additionally, mentioning the broader implications of the study, such as its contribution to breeding strategies or improvements in chickpea yield, would make the abstract more comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The given manuscript presents scientifically relevant information regarding the correlation and path analysis of yield components in chickpea. The statistical analyses align with established methods, and the findings appear consistent with previous research on similar traits in chickpea.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	To strengthen the manuscript, consider adding more recent studies (e.g., within the last 5 years) to ensure the findings are aligned with contemporary research trends.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is mostly suitable for scholarly communication, but there are areas that need refinement to enhance clarity and ensure a professional academic tone.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript presents valuable research on chickpea breeding and seed yield. With minor improvements in language, clarity, and the addition of recent references, it will be well-positioned for publication in scholarly communications.	

[Review Form3](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. Saketh
Department, University & Country	ICAR- IIRR, India