BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	**Tables & boxes of ENT: for quick revision / recapitulation**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BP_7114B**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Tables & boxes of ENT: for quick revision / recapitulation**
Type of the Article	**Complete Book**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)*
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	No	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	--	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	The English used is reader friendly with minimal mistakes. Few grammer mistakes has to be taken care throught the book.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	While I don't have specific information on scientific ENT in forming tables in ENT (Ear, Nose, and Throat). Some of the common errors that I found in tables are as follows. **Incomplete or Inaccurate Data:** Few sentences are difficult to understand as there is minimal information in just one sentence. Ensure that all relevant data is included in the table. Check the accuracy of numerical values and statistics. **Ambiguous Headings:** Clearly label each column and row with appropriate headings. Avoid using abbreviations that may be unclear to the readers. **Inconsistent Formatting:** Maintain consistent formatting throughout all the table. Use the same units for all measurements. **Overcrowded Tables:** Avoid cluttering the table with too much information. If the table becomes too complex, consider breaking it down into multiple smaller tables. **Improper Use of Footnotes:** Clearly explain any footnotes or abbreviations used in the table. Avoid excessive use of footnotes; try to incorporate information directly into the table where possible.	

BPI Review Form 070520

<table>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>

Unreadable Fonts and Text Sizes:

Use legible fonts and appropriate text sizes.
Ensure that the table is easily readable, even when printed or reproduced at a smaller size.

Inconsistent Table Style:

Maintain a consistent style throughout the document for all tables.
Use the same font, colors, and formatting for similar types of information.

Misalignment of Data:

Ensure that data in rows and columns align correctly.
Check for any formatting issues that may lead to misalignment.

Lack of Clarity in Table Notes:

Clearly explain the purpose of the table in accompanying text.
Include a brief description of the key findings or takeaways.

Failure to Update Information:

update tables to include the latest information and research findings.
Ensure that references are current.

</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient?
If you have any suggestions, please write here.</td>
<td>Yes sufficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</table>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Kamalesh Kumar K. S.**
Department, University & Country	**Indian Veterinary Research Institute, India**