

[Review Form 2](#)

Book Name:	Chemical warfare and environmental warfare operations
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BP_3060G.5
Title of the Manuscript:	CHEMICAL WARFARE OPERATIONS
Type of the Article	Complete Book Chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please check comments to authors	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Please check comments to authors	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Please check comments to authors	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments	No apply.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	It is an interesting manuscript about chemical warfare agents. Please consider the following Comments and suggestion prior acceptance: i) in the abstract add a sentence about how the chemicals act as warfare agents. li) add a phrase to state the objective of this Review article. lii) in the introduction consider to add dates and interval of years where they were created and applied. Iv) please english writing. V) the conclusions should be revised. The redaction and thoughts offered should not be just a summary or classification. It should afford to conclusions related with discussion of the reviewed agents. As well consider current status of known agreements from International Defense Organizations; as well as perspectives related with efficacy and dangers. Moreover discussion about how important to know about them stating the reasons.	

[Review Form 2](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Bracamonte
Department, University & Country	National University of Cordoba (UNC), Argentina