

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Recent Updates in Disease and Health Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1467
Title of the Manuscript:	Fear and Phobia: A Critical Review and the Rational-Choice Theory of Neurosis
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer		
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions		
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions		
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The book chapter presents a comprehensive exploration of fear and phobia and related theories. The author(s) have explained theories providing a balanced view by elucidating both the strengths and weaknesses of each theory. This thorough approach not only enhances the reader's understanding of the theoretical perspectives but also facilitates critical thinking by highlighting the areas where each theory excels and where it falls out. One of the standout features of this chapter is the way it handles the discussion of ideas. Whenever a concept is introduced, the author(s) provide references to research that both supports and contradicts the idea. This balanced referencing is commendable as it presents a holistic view of the academic discourse, allowing readers to appreciate the complexity and diversity of opinions within the field. Such an approach is invaluable for students and researchers who are encouraged to engage with the material critically. However, while the chapter excels in many areas, it does have a notable shortcoming. The references cited predominantly range up to the year 2015, indicating a lack of recent research. In a rapidly evolving field, it is crucial to include the most up-to-date studies to ensure that the discussion reflects current trends, developments, and emerging perspectives. Incorporating recent research would not only enhance the relevance of the chapter but also provide readers with the latest insights and data, thereby enriching their understanding of the topic. In conclusion, the chapter is well-written, thoroughly explaining theories and providing a balanced view. To further strengthen the chapter, I recommend including more recent research to ensure the content remains current and reflective of the latest academic research.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)



BPI Review Form 070520

Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper,	
recent and sufficient?	
If you have any suggestions, please write here.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ammara Numan
Department, University & Country	Lahore College for Women University, Pakistan

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)