

BPI Review Form 070520

Book Name:	Recent Updates in Disease and Health Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1467
Title of the Manuscript:	Fear and Phobia: A Critical Review and the Rational-Choice Theory of Neurosis
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(If agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors must write his/her feedback here)</i>
Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? Please write a few sentences explaining your answer	Yes, it provides a rich source for literature review and makes the reader familiar with another point of view.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Do you have any alternative Title in your mind?	Yes, the title is relevant and suitable but the word "fear" in the title can be omitted or changed. As This is a scientific manuscript and words like anxiety and phobia can be more proper.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Before reading the text it was a little bit confusing. But after finishhigh the whole manuscript, it could be related. So making some changes in this part can be useful.	
Do you think the English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications? If your answer is No, please provide suggestions	Yes.	
Please provide your comments regarding the appropriateness of different sections of the manuscript.	The sequence of sections was ok. They were brief an informative. But as a reader reading many literature reviews one after another was boring. The last part which was about the rational choice theory of neurosis was much more intresting. Maybe by some reconsiderations in the sequence of giving all these information together it could be more interesting.	
Do you think that the references in the manuscript are proper, recent and sufficient? If you have any suggestions, please write here.	References were sufficient but they arenot recent enough (Although considering the age of theories it's inevitable). By adding some more recent researches this problem can be solved.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anbari, Farima
Department, University & Country	Iran