

[Review Form2](#)

Book Name:	Medicine and Medical Research - New Perspectives
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BPR_1895
Title of the Manuscript:	Central Cornea Thickness in Glaucoma and Non- Glaucoma African Population
Type of the Article	Book chapter

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Topic is very relevant as glaucoma is game of IOP which ultimately depends a lot on central corneal thickness. A note of CCT makes the glaucoma workup complete.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	"8.4millionpeoplegloballywerebilaterallyblind fromprimaryglaucomain2010.This numbermay rise to 11.1 million by 2020 [5]."the data mentioned in introduction paragraph 1 needs to be updated. It's past 2020 and projections for 2040 are available as well. So recent data added to content would make more sense.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	More recent refernces if added, maybe within 10years, would be better.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Overall good article	

[Review Form2](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Priyanka Gupta
<u>Department, University & Country</u>	Sri Guru Ram Rai Institute of Medical and Health Sciences, India